

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,690	07/28/2003	Andrzej Wozniak	T2147-908580	3214
181 7:	590 11/30/2005		EXAMINER	
MILES & ST	OCKBRIDGE PC	LIN, SUN J		
1751 PINNAC	LE DRIVE			
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102-3833		2825	

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/627,690	WOZNIAK, ANDRZEJ
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Sun J. Lin	2825
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Sec</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Equation 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) <u>9-26</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>9-24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 July 2003</u> is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to b	
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= ' ' '	•
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	V

Application/Control Number: 10/627,690 Page 2

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Amendments filed on 09/07/2005 regarding patent application S/N 10/627,690 filed on 07/28/2003. Claims 1 – 8 are cancelled without prejudice. Claims 9 – 26 remain pending in the application.

Claim Objections

2. Claims listed below are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 7, before "initialization" delete —the—.

Claim 1, line 7, change ", during which" to —in which—.

Claim 1, line 11, after "each" insert —of—.

Claim 25, line 2, before "server" delete —first—.

Claim 25, line 5, before "client" delete —second—.

Claim 25, line 1 – 2, change "to automatically test recognition of configurations"

to —for automatic recognition of available simulation configurations—.

Claim 25, line 2, change "claim 17" to —claim 25—.

Claim 25, line 2, change "second manager" to —client manager—.

Claim 25, line 3, change "the test" to —a test—.

Claim 25, line 4, change "the response" to —a response—.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- (1). Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- (2). Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- (3). Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,690 Page 3

Art Unit: 2825

(4). Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

- 4. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2001/0043237 A1 to <u>Schmieder</u> in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,953,707 to <u>Huang et al.</u>
- 5. As to Claim 25, <u>Schmieder</u> shows and discloses the following subject matter:
 - Web-based engineering design [Paragraph 0013];
 - A system for distributing computer simulations in a network environment ...
 the system comprises networked computers including <u>Manager</u>, <u>Client</u> and
 <u>Server</u>- [abstract]; manager, <u>groups of servers</u>, <u>group of clients</u> [Paragraph 0123 0129];
 - Server contains an unique identifier of its simulated product (i.e., simulation)
 ...Client maintains a list (i.e., a table) of simulations provided by a group of servers [Paragraph 0127];
 - Through Manager, Client requests a document from Server and triggers the reassembly of the simulation on the Client using locally stored <u>components</u> (i.e., <u>configuration model</u>) – [abstract].

Schmieder discloses communicating between Server and Client through a Manager in a network system, he does teach a network system comprises a server manager and a client manager. Huang et al. show and teach this subject matter in Fig. 35 – 36. As noticed in Fig. 36 (1) Server Manager contains a list of Servers (i.e., simulation components) that provide various simulations) (2) Client Manger contains a list of Clients having various configuration models and requiring different simulations (3) information (e.g., configuration models) associated with all Clients is registered in a storage table in a memory. Notice that the Client Manager 320 has a means of formulating a request message, which is being interpreted by Request Interpreter 322 and being forwarded to the Server Manager 324 in order to reduce loading (e.g., data storage etc.) of individual client and to efficiently and accurately exchange simulation message between a client and a desired server.

Art Unit: 2825

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to have applied the teachings of <u>Huang et al.</u> show and teach in comprising a Server Manager and a Client Manager in a networked simulation system in order to reduce loading (e.g., data storage etc.) of individual client and to efficiently and accurately exchange simulation message between a client and a desired server.

6. As to Claim 25, in addition to reasons given above, <u>Schmieder</u> discloses that based on a request (i.e., response) from a client, the Client (Manager) can trigger (i.e., activate) re-assembly of the simulation using locally stored components – [abstract]. Notice that re-assembly is due to modification of certain components (i.e., parts) in the configuration.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 9 24 are allowed. Those claims are allowed is because that the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the following subject matter:
 - A method for automatic recognition of available simulation configurations of integrated circuits through a simulation test comprising acquiring a simulation configuration by a server manager, associated with a simulation, during initialization of a simulator program in which all constructors of HLL (C++) instances of components present in a current global simulation models are call in combination with other limitations as recited in independent Claim 9.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sun J Lin whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 1899. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30AM - 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S Smith can be reached on (571) 272 - 1907. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/627,690 Page 5

Art Unit: 2825

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sun James Lin Patent Examiner Art Unit 2825 November 22, 2005